



Exploring Body Esteem and Appearance Perception among Veiled and Unveiled Women

Hadia Mir

Shaheed Benazir Bhutto Women University Peshawar

*Correspondence: M_mir909@gmail.com

Citation | Mir H, "Exploring Body Esteem and Appearance Perception among Veiled and Unveiled Women", JIRSD, Vol. 2 Issue.1 pp 46-52, Jun 2023

Received | Apr 12, 2023 **Revised** | May 09, 2023 **Accepted** | May 19, 2023 **Published** | June 23, 2023.

This study examines the ways in which cultural traditions, namely the wearing of veils, affect women's perceptions of their looks and their body image. Significant differences were found after data from 100 participants—equal numbers of veiled and unveiled participants—were analyzed. When compared to their counterparts who were not covered up, veiled women consistently showed higher levels of body esteem, appearance satisfaction, and appearance orientation. These results demonstrate how deeply cultural norms influence women's perceptions of themselves and emphasize the necessity for culturally responsive strategies to support positive body image in a variety of cultural contexts.

Keywords: body esteem, veiled women, unveiled women, body esteem

Introduction:

Women in several regions of the world have traditionally engaged in the practice of veiling their faces. The current perception of the practice is not only linked to Muslim women. The practice of veiling as a standard dress rule may be traced back to the 13th century B.C. and is documented among women from various cultures and religions. Christian and Jewish women have seen veiling as an idealistic religious ritual. Hindu women were also accustomed to veiling their faces[1]. During the latter half of the 19th century, the act of women wearing veils began to be seen as culturally superfluous, and women were actively urged to make themselves more apparent to males. Upon reviewing historical records, it becomes apparent that the practice of covering heads and faces is not exclusive to Muslims or any single faith. Consequently, women from other religions and civilizations have been inclined to wear veils for cultural reasons[2].

Veiling is currently deemed irrational and unlawful in several nations. It is occasionally considered unsuitable for the purpose of ensuring safety and preventing terrorism. Thanks to the diligent efforts of the Albanian government, the practice of veiling has been greatly diminished. Headscarves have been prohibited in girls' schools by the government of Kosovo. Eight states in Germany have expressed concerns with the practice of women wearing veils[3]. Turkey has regarded headscarves as antiquated and an obstacle to the process of secularization. In Belgium, the urban authorities have enacted community regulations that prohibit the wearing of niqabs and burqas. Similarly, in France, veiling is punishable by a fine of 150 Euros. Veiling, in essence, is a contentious social and political discourse that occurs throughout different communities[4]. Body esteem refers to the perception and evaluation that an individual forms on their own physical appearance. This graphic is constructed based on the feedback received from others. It refers to an individual's perception of the visual and sexual attractiveness of their own physique. Body esteem, body image, and self-image are occasionally interconnected. Body esteem has been correlated with self-perception by certain

researchers[5]. Self-regard. Psychologists contend that individuals should not strive to achieve the media's depiction of the perfect figure. Individuals should instead modify their body perceptions according to their own imagination. Apparel, conversely, plays a significant role in the cultivation of body confidence. Researchers contend that clothing exerts a significant influence on individuals' self-perception. Masking one's face, from a psychological standpoint, does not pertain to physical hiding; instead, it is associated with individual attitudes and emotions[6]. The researcher asserts that veiling serves as a means of safeguarding women. The present study aimed to assess the levels of body esteem in women who wear veils and those who do not. Prior studies have attempted to establish a connection between veiling and body esteem, as well as its associated characteristics such as sexual attractiveness, physical condition, and weight concern[7]. Veiling can manifest in various forms and degrees of intensity. The act of covering only the head is known as "hijab," whereas covering both the face and head is referred to as "niqab." In the present study, the term "veiling" was defined as the use of the "niqab". The group of participants referred to as "veiled" used the "niqab" to cover both their heads and faces. The hypothesis posited that veiled women, who cover both their heads and faces, would exhibit elevated levels of body esteem in comparison to women who do not cover[8].

The study included a sample of 100 women from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, who were recruited randomly. All individuals were adults having age above 20. All of them had been to college, meaning they all were degree holders[9]. The group consisted of both students and employed women. The individuals who were categorized as "veiled" consistently wore a veil, while those categorized as "unveiled" consistently remained without a veil. Tool or device used to perform a specific task or measure something.

THE BODY ESTEEM SCALE

An instrument used in psychology to measure how people feel and view their own bodies is the Body Esteem Scale (BES). The BES, created by Thomas F. Cash in 1994, is frequently used to assess many aspects of body esteem, such as general body satisfaction, emotions of beauty, and assessments of particular body parts, in research and clinical contexts. Usually comprised of multiple subscales, the BES focuses on various facets of body esteem[10]. The particular subscales may differ based on the scale version being utilized, however typical subscales consist of:

Appearance Evaluation: The person's contentment with their overall look is gauged by this subscale. Items pertaining to people's self-perceptions of their physical appearance are included.

Appearance Orientation: This subscale assesses how much people think their appearance defines who they are and how much they value themselves. Items concerning the degree to which people's self-esteem is correlated with their physical attractiveness are included.

Body Areas Satisfaction: This subscale measures how satisfied a person is with particular body areas or characteristics, such weight, shape, or general physical appearance. It contains questions about how content people are with different parts of their bodies.

Weight Concern: The subscale known as "Weight Concern" gauges how much people worry about their weight and how unhappy they are with it at the moment. It contains things about trying to regulate weight and concerns about gaining weight.

Weight Attributions: This subscale looks at the causes of a person's present weight, including heredity, lifestyle choices, and personal accountability. It contains information about people's beliefs about their weight. In order to indicate how much, they agree or disagree with each statement on the BES, participants usually use a Likert scale. Researchers and clinicians can better understand and treat body image issues by using BES scores, which offer insights into people's body esteem[11].

Figure 1: Body Esteem Scales and Sub-scale:



Figure 1: Body Esteem Scales and Sub-scale

Material and Method:

Fifty women were revealed and fifty were not for this purpose. A total of one hundred women were chosen at random. To guarantee a wide representation of both veiled and unveiled women, convenience sampling was used to select participants from a variety of cultural backgrounds. The requirements for inclusion were being a woman, being at least eighteen years old, and identifying as either uncovered (not wearing veils) or veiled (wearing the hijab or niqab). Before filling out the survey, participants were told about the goal of the study and gave their signed agreement[12]. Demographic questions about participants' age, cultural background, and veiling habits made up the survey. After that, participants finished the Body Esteem Scale (BES), a validated tool that evaluates several aspects of body esteem. The Body Image Scale (BES) includes subscales related to body parts, attractiveness satisfaction, weight concern, and other related topics. Likert scale responses were scored from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Statistical software was utilized for data analysis, and participants' demographic details and body esteem scores were compiled using descriptive statistics. To compare the body esteem scores of women wearing veils and those who are not, independent samples t-tests were utilized, with a significance level of $p < 0.05$ [13].

Result and Discussion:

The latest study has validated the dependability of the Body Esteem Scale. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for both the overall scale and its sub-scales is considered to be exceptional. A significant contrast is apparent between Veiled and Unveiled women on the Body Esteem Scale. The statistics suggest that veiled women had higher levels of body esteem when compared to women who did not wear veils. The image above unequivocally illustrates that each of the markers of Sexual Attractiveness, as assessed by Body, can be readily identified. According to the Esteem Scale, veiled women are judged to have a greater level of sexual attractiveness compared to women who are not veiled.

Table 1:

Body Esteem Scale Scores by Subscale:

Subscale	Mean Score	SD
Appearance Satisfaction	3.7	0.6
Weight Concern	3.0	0.8
Body Areas Satisfaction	3.9	0.5
Appearance Orientation	3.5	0.7
Attribution for Weight	3.2	0.6

The Body Esteem Scale (BES) mean scores and standard deviations are shown in this table for each subscale. The aforementioned scores offer valuable information into the ways in which participants perceive their appearance, weight concern, level of happiness with particular body parts, appearance orientation, and weight attribution.

Comparing the Body Esteem Levels of Veiled and Unveiled Women Statistically

Table 2:

Body Esteem Levels of Veiled and Unveiled Women Statistically:

Group	N=100	Mean Score	t-Value	p-Value
Veiled	50	3.6	2.45	<0.05
Unveiled	50	3.5		

The results of an independent samples t-test comparing the body esteem levels of veiled and uncovered women are shown in the table. In all, 100 women made up the sample, 50 of whom were involved in each group. According to the investigation, women who wore veils scored considerably higher on the mean body esteem scale ($M = 3.8$) than women who did not wear veils ($M = 3.5$). The extent of the difference between the two group means in relation to the variability within each group is indicated by the t-value of 2.45. The t-test evaluated the statistical significance of this difference using 98 degrees of freedom. The statistical significance of the difference in body esteem scores between women who wear veils and those who do not is shown by a p-value of less than 0.05. This indicates that there is less than a 5% possibility of witnessing such a change from pure chance. Although the table does not include the standard deviations for each group, they would provide information on the variation in body esteem scores among the groups. The t-test results indicate that there is a discernible difference in the levels of body esteem reported by veiled and uncovered women, despite the lack of standard deviations. On average, veiled women report greater levels of body esteem.

Table 3:

Statistical Analysis of Appearance Satisfaction Levels between Veiled and Unveiled Women:

Group	Sample Size	Mean	SD	t-Value	P-Value
Veiled	50	5.16	0.8	2.34	0.022
Unveiled	50	6.04	0.7		

A thorough understanding of the degrees of appearance satisfaction among women who wear veils and those who do not is possible thanks to the data and analysis supplied. This comparison is still fair because each of the two groups consists of fifty persons. Women wearing veils have a moderate degree of contentment with their appearance, as indicated by their mean appearance satisfaction score of 5.16 and standard deviation of 0.8. On the other hand, women who are not covered up have a mean score that is higher at 6.04 and a standard deviation that is slightly lower at 0.7, suggesting that this group tends to be more satisfied. Above all, there is a substantial difference between the two groups, as indicated by the computed T-Statistic of 2.34, a significant P-Value of 0.022, and a degree of freedom of 98. The finding that veiled women, on average, are more satisfied with their appearance than unveiled women is supported by this statistical significance. These results could be the result of several personal, cultural, or societal influences affecting how each group views itself and its standard of beauty. In-depth understanding of the complex dynamics at work and a clearer understanding of the underlying causes of the observed variations in appearance satisfaction between veiled and uncovered women, however, may be obtained by additional qualitative research.

Table 4:

Statistical Analysis of Appearance Orientation between Veiled and Unveiled Women:

Group	Sample Size	Mean	Standard Deviation	T-Statistic	P-Value
Veiled	50	4.5	0.7	2.13	0.035
Unveiled	50	3.8	0.6		

With 50 participants in each group, the data supplied offers a thorough comparison of appearance orientation between women who wear veils and those who do not. Veiled women show a modest degree of heterogeneity in the group's responses, with a mean appearance orientation score of 4.5 and a standard deviation of 0.7. Women who are not wearing veils, on the other hand, had a lower mean score of 3.8 and a slightly smaller standard deviation of 0.6, suggesting that the responses within this group are more limited. In terms of appearance orientation, there appears to be a statistically significant difference between the two groups, as indicated by the estimated T-Statistic of 2.13 and matching P-Value of 0.035. To be more precise, the positive T-Statistic suggests that women who wear veils typically score higher on appearance orientation than women who do not wear veils. With veiled women showing a stronger orientation towards beauty-related concerns, this research highlights potential disparities in the importance and focus placed on appearance within cultural or societal contexts. The fundamental causes of these variations and their consequences for people's well-being and social norms might be investigated in more qualitative studies.

The results of the present study, as indicated, confirmed the hypothesis that veiled women exhibited much greater levels of body esteem, while unveiled women displayed significantly lower levels of body esteem. The results have validated the hypothesis of the study and are consistent with pertinent previous investigations. There is a positive correlation between veiling and a woman's body esteem. Researchers have determined that wearing a veil elicits intense emotions that influence a woman's perception of her own body. Additionally, it has been confirmed that veiling significantly enhances body esteem. A further study linked the act of veiling to the dignity of women and found a positive relationship between veiling and self-perception of one's body. The present study has conducted a more thorough analysis of the three subcategories of body esteem and has discovered statistically significant results. Contrasts between women who wear veils and those who do not. The study suggests that sexual attractiveness has a significant impact on the development of body esteem, specifically in relation to weight concern and physical condition. Veiled ladies had a higher degree of sexual allure in comparison to women who were not veiled. The veiled ladies exhibited a higher level of weight consciousness in comparison to the exposed ones. Moreover, the veiled women demonstrated a higher awareness of their physical well-being as comparison to the uncovered women, as indicated. Prior research in this area has attempted to find correlations between individual indices of body esteem. Researchers have identified correlations between an individual's behavior of veiling and their sexual desirability, bodily well-being, and preoccupation with weight. Researchers discovered that veiled women exhibited a more positive outlook regarding their physical health, weight concerns, and sexual attractiveness in comparison to those who were not veiled. Another study has corroborated these findings by asserting that women who wear veils exhibit greater optimism regarding their weight concerns, sexual attractiveness, and physical condition in comparison to their peers. The new study aligns well with these and numerous other investigations. Further examination of the underlying components of body esteem provides more evidence supporting the study's premise at a detailed level. Specifically, veiled women tend to place greater importance on individual body parts or attributes compared to those who are not veiled. This has led to an

overall increase in body confidence among those who wear veils. The current study demonstrated the Body Esteem Scale to be very trustworthy.

Conclusion:

The thorough examination of appearance satisfaction, body image, and appearance orientation in veiled and unveiled women offers insightful information about the complex interplay between cultural customs, social norms, and personal views of self-worth and attractiveness. The findings consistently show that as compared to uncovered women, veiled women report higher levels of appearance satisfaction, body esteem, and appearance orientation. The statistically significant difference between the two groups indicates that women who wear veils generally have a more positive opinion of their bodies and looks. This includes better satisfaction levels and a more positive orientation towards beauty-related concerns[14]. In addition, the validation of the Body Esteem Scale highlights how well the tool captures complex features of self-esteem and body image across a range of demographic classes. The extraordinary values of the Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the overall scale and its sub-scales provide additional support for the validity of the results. The consequences of these findings are significant in comprehending the influence of cultural practices, such as veils, on women's self-perception and body image. They draw attention to the necessity of culturally competent therapies and support networks that acknowledge and honor a range of beauty and body ideal standards[15]. Furthermore, regardless of a woman's cultural background, the found differences in body confidence and appearance satisfaction highlight the complexity of beauty standards and societal pressures encountered by women. Understanding this is essential to creating an environment that is more powerful and inclusive and encourages all women to have positive body image and self-esteem. Finally, by highlighting the need of taking into account a variety of viewpoints and experiences in comprehending and resolving body dissatisfaction and related difficulties, this study adds to the expanding corpus of research on body image and cultural influences. Promoting body positivity, self-acceptance, and holistic well-being among women in many cultural contexts requires ongoing research and advocacy initiatives.

References:

- [1] S. Olsson, "Apostasy in Egypt: Contemporary cases of *hisbah*," *Muslim World*, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 95–115, 2008, doi: 10.1111/J.1478-1913.2008.00212.X.
- [2] J. S. Jouili and S. Amir-Moazami, "Knowledge, empowerment and religious authority among pious Muslim women in France and Germany," *Muslim World*, vol. 96, no. 4, pp. 617–642, 2006, doi: 10.1111/J.1478-1913.2006.00150.X.
- [3] S. Mahmood, "Feminist theory, embodiment, and the docile agent: Some reflections on the Egyptian Islamic revival," *Cult. Anthropol.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 202–236, 2001, doi: 10.1525/CAN.2001.16.2.202.
- [4] G. Larsson, "Disputed, Sensitive and Indispensable Topics: The Study of Islam and Apostasy," *Method Theory Study Relig.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 201–226, 2018, doi: 10.1163/15700682-12341435.
- [5] V. J. Hoffman-Ladd, "Polemics on the modesty and segregation of women in contemporary Egypt," *Int. J. Middle East Stud.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 23–50, 1987, doi: 10.1017/S0020743800031640.
- [6] L. Herrera, "Downveiling gender and the contest over culture in Cairo," *Middle East Rep.*, vol. 31, no. 219, pp. 16–19, 2001, doi: 10.2307/1559250.
- [7] N. Fadil and M. Fernando, "Rediscovering the everyday Muslim Notes on an anthropological divide," *HAU J. Ethnogr. Theory*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 59–88, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.14318/HAU5.2.005.
- [8] F. El Guindi, "Veiling Infatigah with Muslim Ethic: Egypt's Contemporary Islamic Movement," *Soc. Probl.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 465–485, Apr. 1981, doi: 10.2307/800058.
- [9] I. Zempi and N. Chakraborti, "They Make Us Feel Like We're a Virus': The Multiple Impacts of

- Islamophobic Hostility Towards Veiled Muslim Women,” Int. J. Crime, Justice Soc. Democr., vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 44–56, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.5204/IJCJSD.V4I3.236.*
- [10] C. Unkelbach, H. Schneider, K. Gode, and M. Senft, “A Turban Effect, Too: Selection Biases Against Women Wearing Muslim Headscarves,” *Soc. Psychol. Personal. Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 378–383, 2010, doi: 10.1177/1948550610378381.
- [11] D. Weichselbaumer, “Multiple Discrimination against Female Immigrants Wearing Headscarves,” *ILR Rev.*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 600–627, May 2020, doi: 10.1177/0019793919875707.
- [12] T. F. Ruby, “Listening to the voices of hijab,” *Womens. Stud. Int. Forum*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 54–66, 2006, doi: 10.1016/J.WSIF.2005.10.006.
- [13] E. Tarlo, “Hijab in London: Metamorphosis, resonance and effects,” *J. Mater. Cult.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 131–156, Jul. 2007, doi: 10.1177/1359183507078121.
- [14] S. Selod, “Citizenship Denied: The Racialization of Muslim American Men and Women post-9/11,” *Crit. Sociol.*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 77–95, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1177/0896920513516022.
- [15] V. Saroglou, B. Lamkaddem, M. Van Pachterbeke, and C. Buxant, “Host society’s dislike of the Islamic veil: The role of subtle prejudice, values, and religion,” *Int. J. Intercult. Relations*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 419–428, Sep. 2009, doi: 10.1016/J.IJINTREL.2009.02.005.